

Influenza Vaccine Development in Vietnam: Technology assessment

Rick A. Bright, PhD
International Vaccine
Technology Workshop
17-18 September 2010
Hyderabad, India



Vietnam case study outline

- Capacity building framework
- Influenza vaccine development in Vietnam
- Technology assessment
- Path forward



*Conducting serology survey in the field
Photo credit: Huong Vu - PATH*



Framework for capacity building in Vietnam

- Strengthen capacity of manufacturers for influenza vaccine production
- Strengthen capacity of local institutions in conducting/managing standard clinical trials
- Strengthen capacity of National Regulatory Authority (MOH) for ensuring vaccine quality and clarifying guidelines for vaccine licensure
- Identify strategies for sustainable production and use of influenza vaccine in Vietnam (seasonal influenza)



Ongoing influenza activities in Vietnam

- Strategies to control pandemic influenza have been a high priority for many years in response to repeated human cases of H5N1
 - Strongly supported by the governments of Vietnam, the United States, WHO and others
 - Surveillance system to identify prevalence and seasonality of influenza was established in collaboration with the US CDC
 - Efforts to draft short- and long-term strategies for pandemic and seasonal influenza management
 - Progress towards identifying gaps and establishing steps to towards vaccine licensure and use



Human influenza vaccine development

Four groups with strong interest in producing human influenza vaccine

- rgH5N1 seed virus (now H1N1)
- Whole-inactivated virus
- Alum adjuvant
- **Different substrates**



Technology assessment

Objective: Evaluate each manufacturer to prioritize efforts to accelerate production of influenza vaccine.

- Human vaccine production experience
- Influenza vaccine development experience
- Vaccine substrate
 - Regulatory guidelines
 - Practicality for influenza vaccine production
 - Expertise / training available for further development
- Comments from the Vietnam Ministry of Health
- Estimated timeline for production of CTM
- Long-term plan for sustainable influenza vaccine production



Company A

- Experienced in human vaccine production and export
- Substrate: Primary monkey kidney cells
 - Low scale, low yield cell factories
 - Challenging regulatory pathway
 - Early stage consideration for Vero or MDCK cells
- Completed the production process and manufacture of H5N1 clinical lots, conducted Phase 1/2 trials
- Pilot capacity target: 1.2M doses/year
- Plans for scale up: 20M+ doses/year
- Intermediate lead time to clinic (3 – 5 years)



Company B

- Experienced in human vaccine production and export
- Substrate: Embryonated chicken eggs
 - Building sustainable, biosecure poultry facility for eggs
- Produced more than 5 lots of H5N1 that met WHO requirements and now over 8 lots of H1N1
- Completed immunogenicity in animals for both H5N1 and H1N1
- New manufacturing facility for production of influenza vaccine complete and undergoing validation
- Full capacity targeted to 1.5M to 3M doses/year
- Short lead time to clinic (1 – 2 years)



Company C

- Previous production of BCG vaccine
 - Research facility, no manufacturing site, no tech transfer plan or business model for influenza vaccine production
- Substrate: Vero cells
 - Shaker flask / roller bottle scale
- Completed production process for H5N1
- Completed preclinical stage to show immunogenicity in animals
- Long lead time to clinic (5+ years)



Company D

- Experienced in production and export of polio vaccine
- Substrate: Undecided.
 - Plans to explore either CEF or Vero cells
- Very early research stage, new interest due to H1N1 situation
- No facility for influenza vaccine production
 - Long-term plans for influenza vaccine unclear
- Long lead time to clinic (5+ years)



Path forward

- Reviewed assessment with representatives from Vietnam MOH, BARDA and WHO
- Company B with egg-based manufacturing was prioritized for further development in partnership with PATH
- Identified additional needs for training to support all manufacturers
 - Regulatory pathway, manufacturing strategy, influenza vaccination policies
- Prepared clinical roadmap to conduct trials in Vietnam using locally produced vaccine
- Formed collaboration with MOH to strengthen NRA



Conclusion

Factors for consideration of technology go beyond basic science:

- Technical capacity
 - Robustness of process / substrate
- Company capacity
 - Experience with substrate
- Regulatory capacity
 - Defined regulatory pathway
 - Experience of NRA
- Political capacity
 - Urgency of local need
 - Ability to drive a new process



Acknowledgement

- Vietnam MoH
- Representatives from the 4 organizations
- US Government – BARDA
- WHO
- PATH – Vietnam
- PATH – US





Rick A. Bright, PhD
Director, Vaccine Capacity Building in Vietnam Project
Scientific Director, Influenza Vaccine Project
Vaccine Development Global Program
rbright@path.org
202.822.0033

WWW.PATH.ORG